UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

U	nited States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Dulce M. Hernandez	Case No. 1:12-mj-00388
	Defendant	
	ncting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Appe detained pending trial.	Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Finding	as of Fact
(1) The defer	-	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of
	deral offense a state or local offense that w	ould have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	rime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a ich the prison term is 10 years or more.)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an	offense for which the maximum sentence is death	n or life imprisonment.
	offense for which a maximum prison term of ten y	·
	,	*
	elony committed after the defendant had been cor S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or lo	nvicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ocal offenses.
any	y felony that is not a crime of violence but involves	s:
	a minor victim	
	the possession or use of a firearm or de a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 22	structive device or any other dangerous weapon 250
(2) The offen or local of	<u> </u>	he defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
	of less than 5 years has elapsed since the c escribed in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
	(1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption the community. I further find that defendant has	n that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another not rebutted that presumption.
·	Alternative Fin	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(1) There is a	probable cause to believe that the defendant has	
,	which a maximum prison term of ten years or mo	
	ntrolled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	* *
	der 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2) The defer	ndant has not rebutted the presumption established	ed by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions
、 /	nably assure the defendant's appearance and the	
	Alternative Fin	dings (B)
✓ (1) There is a	a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	gc (=)
(2) There is a	a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the	e safety of another person or the community.
(Part II – Statement of the R	
I find that th		ention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing
evidence a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:	
456		1.0 0 000
	red her detention hearing, electing not to contest of	detention at this time. court's attention should her circumstances change.
2. Deletidatit illay	bring the issue of her continuing determion to the	Court's attention should her circumstances change.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	December 13, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
_		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	